

Aylen Van Isseldyk

Founder & Project Director | Independent Academic Researcher

vanisseldykaylen@gmail.com



As an independent academic researcher whose work in this field is financially supported by the Tobacco Harm Reduction Fellowship Program, delivered by Knowledge-Action-Change (@GlobalStateTHR), I offer the following assessment of **What's the Alternative (WTA)**.

• Alignment with Harm Reduction Principles

WTA applies the harm reduction approach in a rigorous and ethical manner. It acknowledges that a significant proportion of adults who smoke are unable or unwilling to completely stop using tobacco immediately, and provides clear information on lower-risk alternatives.

• Recent articles — particularly “Five Persistent Myths About Nicotine — and What the Evidence Actually Shows” and “Small Steps, Real Change: The Psychology of Sustainable Switching” — demonstrate careful handling of the scientific evidence. They correctly distinguish between nicotine and combustion, dismantle myths that conflate the two, and present gradual, context-specific change as a more realistic and sustainable strategy than an all-or-nothing framework. This approach is consistent with the current consensus of independent reviews and leading public health bodies.

• Ethical and Professional Standards

From an independent academic perspective:

- The platform prioritises accuracy over alarmism or oversimplification.
- It avoids both minimising risks and exaggerating them.
- It respects the autonomy of adults by providing information that enables informed decisions.
- It incorporates elements of behavioural psychology in a practical, evidence-based way, which strengthens its clinical and public health relevance.

Transparency regarding sources of support (in this case, the Tobacco Harm Reduction Fellowship Program of Knowledge-Action-Change / Global State of Tobacco Harm Reduction) is consistent with good practices in independent research. Declaring the origin of funding does not invalidate the analysis; on the contrary, it allows readers to assess potential biases and strengthens the credibility of the work.

• Relevance in the Pakistani Context.

In a setting where smoking continues to generate a substantial disease burden and where accessible, scientifically sound information on lower-risk alternatives remains limited, **WTA** fulfils a valuable role in responsible knowledge dissemination. Placing tobacco harm reduction within a broader framework of well-being, lifestyle, and environmental sustainability adds coherence and facilitates reception of the message.

What's the Alternative represents a solid example of ethical, professional communication oriented toward harm reduction. Its work helps close the gap between scientific evidence and public understanding, and it does so with a respectful, precise tone focused on realistic health outcomes.

Aylen Van Isseldyk

Founder & Project Director
THR · Ethics · Sustainability
Independent Academic Researcher
Tobacco Harm Reduction Fellowship Program
Knowledge-Action-Change | Global State of
Tobacco Harm Reduction